

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Donna Jo Coningsby, Registration No. 41,684 on 11/16/2009.

In the claims, amend claims 20 and 25 as follows:

In Claim 20, at the beginning of line 23 wherein the claim recites "a means for selecting the tree node", replace the phrase "a means" with the phrase "a pointing device" to recite "a pointing device for selecting the tree node".

In Claim 25, at the beginning of line 2 wherein the claim recites "a computer-readable medium", insert the word "storage" after the phrase "computer-readable" to recite "a computer-readable storage medium".

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The Examiner deems Hessmer et al. (US 2002/0112044 A1) hereinafter Hessmer, E et al. (US 2004/0019639 A1) hereinafter E, and Melchione et al. (US 2002/0091819 A1)

hereinafter Melchione, to be relevant prior arts, wherein Hessmer being the closest prior art. Independent claims 1 (a computer-implemented method), 10 (an apparatus), 20 (a system), 25 (an article of manufacture), and their respective dependent claims, when considered as a whole, are allowable over the prior arts of record.

In particular, each of the independent claim 1, 10, 20 and 25 as amended require *"displaying a relationship value for each listed service reference, wherein the relationship value is to specify a binary value that represents a strength of a relationship between the listed service reference and the service represented by the selected tree node, the strength of the relationship indicating whether the listed service reference is or is not automatically started when the service represented by the selected tree node is started"*. None of the prior art of record either alone or in combination teaches the above limitations when considered as a whole. For example, Hessmer teaches displaying a hierarchical tree structure having one or more tree nodes in a graphical user interface, each of the one or more tree nodes representing a resource of an application server instance. However, Hessmer fails to teach that each of the one or more tree nodes representing a resource of an application server instance within a network of application server instances having the cluster architecture with all of the particular limitations of the cluster architecture as recited in the independent claims. In particular, Hessmer fails to clearly address "a redundant set of application logic and associated data", as well as "access to a central database", "a dispatcher", "a central service", "a locking service" and "a messaging service" as recited in the claims. The Examiner so far relied on E

reference for teaching the cluster of application servers. However, Applicants have argued:

"Applicant submits that the specification does provide ample description of the cluster architecture in Figure 13 and the accompanying paragraphs [0083-0089], and again respectfully submit that the meaning of a particular claim term *may be defined by implication, that is, according to the usage of the term in the context of the specification*. See Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303, 75 USPQ2d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) quoted in MPEP 2111.01 (IV). Accordingly, the claim limitations, including the terms "cluster," "dispatcher," "central service," "locking service" and "messaging service," must be interpreted in light of the specification." (See Remarks of 07/09/2009, page 17, lines 3-15)

Based on Applicants' argument, the Examiner interprets the terms "cluster," "dispatcher," "central service," "locking service" and "messaging service," as specified in the instant specification. Therefore, based on such interpretation, E can no longer be relied upon to read on "the cluster of application servers instances" claimed. Nevertheless, even if E reference can be relied upon to read on the cluster of application servers as claimed, neither Hessmer, nor E can be relied upon to teach, when considered as a whole, *"displaying a relationship value for each listed service reference, wherein the relationship value is to specify a binary value that represents a strength of a relationship between the listed service reference and the service represented by the selected tree node, the strength of the relationship indicating whether the listed service reference is or is not automatically started when the service represented by the selected tree node is started"*. Although Melchione reference might

arguably be relied upon to teach this limitation, the Examiner finds no suggestion or motivation in the references themselves or in the general knowledge in the art to combine the teaching of Melchione with Hessmer and E in order to arrive at the present inventions in the manner recited in the claims without relying on hindsight knowledge. Therefore, the Examiner deems the independent claims 1 (a computer-implemented method), 10 (an apparatus), 20 (a system), 25 (an article of manufacture), and their respective dependent claims, when considered as a whole, are allowable over the prior arts of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RASHEDUL HASSAN whose telephone number is (571)272-9481. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Art Unit: 2179

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rashedul Hassan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2179

/Weilun Lo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179